

HUD REAC FINANCIAL RISK MODEL

Project Status	Peer Group Profile						Project Profile			Project Program/Finance Profile as of December 31, 2015							Section 1								
	Size:	Medium					Project Name:			xxx		Property Category		Assisted-Previously Insured											
	Hub:	State "X"					Contract ID:			YYY		HUD Affiliated (Yes/No):		Yes											
	Program	All Hud Programs					Property Id:			ZZZ		HUD Affiliated Program(s) :		Subsidized											
Project Level Data																									
Project Data				Financial Risk Aversion Indicators					Management Risk Aversion Indicators		Building/Property Physical Condition Risk Aversion Indicators				FASS-MF Performance Indicator *		Section 2								
	Year	Units	Peer Group Affiliation Code	Operating Cost Coverage Ratio (OCCR)	Per Unit Year Surplus Cash	Quick Ratio (QR)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR) *	Excessive Tenant Account Receivable	Occupancy Rate	Inspection Scores (PASS MF) *	Accumulated Reserves for Replacement (R4R) Per Unit Year	Property Construction Year	R4R & Property Age & PASS Combined Risk Aversion Color												
	2015	88	HFA		1.05		-\$1,785		0.104		0.22		98%		39			\$852	1970						
	2014	88	HFA		1.03		-\$752		0.301		0.26		96%		59			\$436	1970						
	2013	88	HFA		1.22		\$122		1.535		2.17		0.61		97%			91		\$419	1970				
	2012	88	HFA		1.03		\$462		1.703		-0.50		0.19		96%			91		\$667	1970				
	2011	88	FHA		1.17		-\$62		1.269		0.27		0.48		97%			91		\$4,444	1970			75	
HFA Peer Group Data	HFA Peer Group	Average Units	Property Count *	HFA Peer Group Averages for "X" State for Size 51 units to 150 units (limits inclusive)														Section 3							
	2015	92	45		1.51		\$1,304		2.760		3.35		0.17		96%		91			\$4,478	1950				
	2014	92	43		1.54		\$1,769		2.751		1.63		0.20		98%		91			\$3,929	1942				
	2013	94	43		1.57		\$1,437		2.195		1.70		0.19		98%		92			\$3,103	1943				
	2012	96	73		1.79		\$1,418		2.089		1.47		0.11		99%		93			\$4,935	1956				
	2011	98	66		1.73		\$1,151		1.791		0.91		0.11		98%		90			\$3,988	1956				
FHA Peer Group Data	FHA Peer Group	Average Units	Property Count	FHA Peer Group Averages for "X" State for Size 51 units to 150 units (limits inclusive)														Section 4							
	2015	94	155		1.68		\$1,672		2.083		1.50		0.06		98%		92			\$8,546	1957				75
	2014	94	147		1.64		\$1,856		2.992		1.36		0.09		98%		91			\$7,698	1958				73
	2013	93	129		1.64		\$1,560		1.995		1.18		0.07		98%		89			\$5,953	1957				74
	2012	93	124		1.68		\$1,618		2.490		1.40		0.06		98%		87			\$5,399	1955				74
	2011	92	123		1.70		\$1,265		2.097		-0.06		0.06		98%		87			\$5,498	1954				72
Thresholds	Individual Indicators Performance Threshold Levels																	Section 5							
	Red	High Risk	<=1.5	< \$0	<=.50	<=.1.00	>.10	<=95%	<60	<=\$300	Age > 15				<60										
	Yellow	Medium Risk	>1.5 & <=1.6	>=\$0 & <\$300	>.50 & <=.80	>1.00 & <=1.20	>.05 & <=.10	>95% & <=97%	>=60 & <80	>\$300 & <=\$800	Age >5 & <= 15				>=60 & <70										
	Green	Low Risk	>1.6	>=\$300	>.80	>1.20	<=.05	>97%	>=80	>\$800	Age <= 5				>=70										
	R4R & Property Age & PASS Combined Threshold Levels																								
Red - High Risk	(PASS < 60 AND R4R > 800 AND Age > 5) OR (PASS < 60 AND R4R <= 800) OR (PASS => 60 & < 80 AND R4R <= 800 AND Age > 15) OR (PASS => 60 & < 80 AND R4R <= 300 AND Age > 5) OR (PASS => 80 AND R4R <= 300 AND Age > 15)					Yellow - Medium Risk	(PASS < 60 AND R4R > 800 AND Age <= 5) OR (PASS => 60 & < 80 AND R4R > 800 AND Age > 5) OR (PASS => 60 & < 80 AND R4R > 300 & <= 800 AND Age <= 15) OR (PASS => 60 & < 80 AND R4R <= 300 AND Age <= 5) OR (PASS => 80 AND R4R > 800 AND Age > 15) OR (PASS => 80 AND R4R > 300 & <= 800 AND Age > 5) OR (PASS => 80 AND R4R <= 300 AND Age <= 15)						Green - Low Risk	(PASS => 60 & < 80 AND R4R > 800 AND Age <= 5) OR (PASS => 80 AND R4R > 800 AND Age <= 15) OR (PASS => 80 AND R4R > 300 AND Age <= 5)											

Note1: Weighted averages for the peer group comparison are computed based on the number of units attached to each property.

Note 2: **Use Results with Caution** The underlying financial data used for data modeling and comparison purposes, as provided by the State HFA, may have been provided outside of HUD/REAC Secured Systems. Financial data on State HFA properties may not have been subject to a financial or quality assurance review by the Department of HUD.

Note 3: Be advised that prior to 2013 properties may have been inspected utilizing an earlier version of the Uniform Physical Condition Standards (UPCS) inspection software.

* The weighted average for the peer group may be computed based on a lower property count than what is indicated, as not all properties in the peer group are required to serve debt, have a physical inspection, and/or be assessed based on FASS risk.